

# Payment of fees and expenses

Zur Kasse

Fax +49(0)89 2399-4465

	see complete in typescript o	nly	F	Payar's referen	C <del>0</del>		
01	Shell International B.V.			TS 7640 EPC P			
	Intellectual Property Services		·   •	Mode of payment Name of bank where EPQ account hold  Bank payment/transfer to 1			
	Address		_				
	P.O. Box 384						
	NL - 2501 CJ THE HAGUE		╛.	Debit from deposit eccount with the EPO is requested?		Deposit account No.	
02	The Netherlands		ַ] נ			28090005	
Patent application/patent No. (please use a separate form for each application)							
03	EP	04804628.8	PC	т		0	
	Code		(	Currency	Amount		
04	001	Filing fee - EP direct	[	EUR			
05	002	Search.fee	ſ	EUR			
06	005	Designation fee(s)*		EUR			
07	015	Claims fee(s) (Rules 45(1), 162(1) EPC)		EUR			
08	055	Additional copy		EUR			
09	. 008	Examination fee		EUR			
10	007	Fee for grant including fee for printing (up to 35 pages)	-	EUR			
11	800	Additional fee for printing (more than 35 pages)		EUR			
12	033	Renewal fee for the 3rd year		EUR			
13	034	Renewal fee for the 4th year		EUR			
14	035	Renewal fee for the 5th year		EUR			
15	020	Filing fee – entry EP phase		EUR			
16		Extension fee(s) for *:		EUR			
17	122	Fee for further processing		EUR		210.00	
18			_	ÉUR			
19				EUR			
20				EUR			
21			_	EUR			
22		To ,	ital	EUR		210.00	
	Achety ignoluro A. Kee			The H	ague (NL	.), 1 October 2008	

Explanations 1 - 4 see overleaf.

EPO 1010 04.08



European Patent Office Postbus 5818
2280 HV RIJSWIJK
NETHERLANDS
Tel. +31 (0)70 340-2040
Fax +31 (0)70 340-3016

Zeestraten, Albertus W. J. Shell International B.V. Intellectual Property Services P.O. Box 384 2501 CJ The Hague **PAYS-BAS** 

For any questions about this communication: Tel.:+31 (0)70 340 45 00

Date 01-08-2008

Reference 04804628.8 - 1263 / 1706628 TS 7640 EPC P Applicant/Proprietor SHELL INTERNATIONALE RESEARCH MAATSCHAPPIJ B.V.

## Noting of loss of rights pursuant to Rule 112(1) EPC

The European patent application is deemed to be withdrawn under Article 94(4) EPC, because the invitation to file observations on the communication from the Examining Division dated 15.02.08 was not complied with.

#### Means of redress

Request for a decision (R. 112(2) EPC)

If the applicant considers that the finding of the European Patent Office is inaccurate, he may, within a (non-extendable) period of two months after notification of this communication, apply in writing for a decision on the matter. The application can only lead to the finding being reversed if this does not actually correspond to the factual or legal situation.

Further processing (Art. 121 EPC)

The legal consequence of the failure to observe the time limit shall be deemed not to have ensued if, within a (non-extendable) period of two months after notification of this communication, further processing is requested by payment of the fee prescribed under Article 2(12) of the Rules relating to Fees and the omitted act is completed (R. 135(1) EPC).

Important note to users of the automatic debiting procedure

The fee for further processing will be debited automatically on the day on which the above-mentioned omitted act is completed (see Arrangements for the automatic debiting procedure, Supplement to OJ EPO 10/2007).

For the Examining Division





L

European Patent Office Postbus 5818 2280 HV Rijswijk NETHERLANDS Tel: +31 70 340 2040 Fax: +31 70 340 3016

Zeestraten, Albertus W. J. Shell International B.V., Intellectual Property Services, P.O. Box 384 2501 CJ The Hague PAYS-BAS Formalities Officer Name: Barrera, Walter Tel: +31 70 340 - 0 or call +31 (0)70 340 45 00

Substantive Examiner Name: Döring, Marcus Tel: +31 70 340 - 3445



Application No.	Ref.	Date		
04 804 628.8 - 1263	TS 7640 EPC P	15.02.2008		
Applicant SHELL INTERNATIONALE RESEARCH MAATSCHAPPIJ B.V.				

# Communication pursuant to Article 94(3) EPC

The examination of the above-identified application has revealed that it does not meet the requirements of the European Patent Convention for the reasons enclosed herewith. If the deficiencies indicated are not rectified the application may be refused pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

You are invited to file your observations and insofar as the deficiencies are such as to be rectifiable, to correct the indicated deficiencies within a period

### of 4 months

from the notification of this communication, this period being computed in accordance with Rules 126(2) and 131(2) and (4) EPC.

One set of amendments to the description, claims and drawings is to be filed within the said period on separate sheets (R. 50(1) EPC).

Failure to comply with this invitation in due time will result in the application being deemed to be withdrawn (Art. 94(4) EPC).



Döring, Marcus Primary Examiner for the Examining Division





Enclosure(s): 2 page/s reasons (Form 2906)

The examination is being carried out on the following application documents:

**Description, Pages** 

1, 3-13 2, 2a as published

received on

05.02.2008 with letter of

05.02.2008

Claims, Numbers

1-13

received on

05.02.2008

with letter of

05.02.2008

**Drawings, Sheets** 

1/2, 2/2

as published

- 1 The amendments introduced with letter dated 05.02.2008 meet the requirements of Article 123(2) EPC.
- 2 However, the subject-matter of **independent claim 1** as presently on file is not new (Article 54(1) and (2) EPC).
- 2.1 As reasoned in the previous communication, document D1 discloses all the features of claim 1 as originally filed. In this previous communication and in the search report it was considered that document D1 does not disclose an NOx abatement system according to the features of claim 5 as originally filed. This opinion has to be revised. From the disclosure in D1 on page 6, paragraph 57 and figure 1 it is clear that the "SOFC effluent 70 preferably enters a catalytic converter 90 in order to obtain extremely low, nearly zero emissions of hydrocarbons and nitric oxide", i.e. NOx. Thus, catalytic converter 90, which can be e.g. a conventional three-way catalyst, reduces NOx to N2, cf. also figure 1, reference 119 downstream of converter 90 and therefore operates as a NOx abatement system according to the features of claim 1.
- 2.2 It is noted that D1 does not explicitly disclose a NOx abatement system according to the features of **dependent claims 5-8** as presently on file. However, document D1 teaches to use any suitable exhaust gas aftertreatment system. NOx abatement systems according to claims 5-8 are well known in the prior art (cf. document D2). Starting from D1 as closest prior art (cf. Guidelines, C-IV, 11.7.1), the skilled person would consider the teaching of D2 in order to improve the exhaust gas aftertreatment

Anmelde-Nr.: Application No.: Demande n°:

04 804 628.8

system disclosed in D1.

- It is suggested to the applicant to investigate whether the combination of features of claims 1, 5 and 10 (i.e. the combination of a NOx reducing catalyst and NOx sorbent with an EGR system) as presently on file would meet the requirements of the EPC.
- The applicant is requested to file new claims which take account of the above comments.